



1 Joaquina Street, York WA 6302

PO Box 22, York WA 6302

T: (08) 9641 0500

E: records@york.wa.gov.au

W: york.wa.gov.au

MINUTES

Special Electors Meeting

Held in the York Town Hall
Cnr Avon Terrace & Joaquina Street, York WA 6302
Tuesday 12 April 2022



Table of Contents



1.	Official Opening
2.	Record of Attendance / Apologies / Leave of Absence
3.	Introduction of Councillors and Officers
4.	Declarations of Interest
5.	<u>Purpose of the Meeting</u>
6.	<u>Manner of Conduct of the Meeting</u>
7.	<u>Matters Which Cannot be Discussed</u>
8.	Response to Written Questions Received prior to the Meeting
8.1	<u>Mr Phil Cowin</u>
9.	Motions of the Meeting
9.1	<u>COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement - Motion 1 Business/Labour Sector</u>
9.2	<u>COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement - Motion 2 Residents</u>
9.3	<u>COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement - Motion 3 Sustainable Economy</u>
9.4	<u>COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement - Motion 4 Agricultural and Trade Sectors</u>
9.5	<u>COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement - Motion 5 Emergency Services</u>
9.6	<u>COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement - Motion 6 Local Government Employment and Services</u>
9.7	<u>COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement - Motion 7 Safely Moving out of the Pandemic</u>
9.8	<u>COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement - Motion 8 Advocate Co-operation with Other Corporate Entities</u>
9.9	<u>COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement - Motion 9 Transparency and Access to Unequivocal Facts Regarding COVID-19</u>
10.	Closure

Shire of York
Special Meeting of Electors
Tuesday 12 April 2022



1. Official Opening / Acknowledgement

The commencement of the Meeting was delayed due to seeking compliance from attendees in relation to the mandates requiring masks to be worn indoors, except with a medical exemption.

The Presiding Person declared the Meeting open at 5.48pm and welcomed those in attendance.

In opening the Meeting, the Presiding Person acknowledged the traditional owners of the land on which the meeting was held and reminded attendees to sign the Meeting Attendance Registers located at the entry point to the Town Hall.

2. Record of Attendance / Apologies / Leave of Absence

Councillors:

Cr D Smythe	Shire President (Presiding Person)
Cr P Heaton	
Cr S Muhleisen	
Cr K Trent	
Cr P Wright	

Staff:

Mr C Linnell	Chief Executive Officer
Ms S McGuire	Executive Manager Infrastructure & Development Services
Ms V Green	Council & Executive Support Officer

Members of the Public: As per the signed Attendance Register:
Eight (8) names were illegible
Seventy nine (79) attendees

Apologies: Cr D Warnick, Deputy President; Cr A Garratt; Ms A Behan, Executive Manager Corporate & Community Services

Approved Leave of Absence: Nil

3. Introduction of Councillors and Officers

The Presiding Person introduced the Councillors, Chief Executive Officer and Staff.

4. Declarations of Interest (Financial, Proximity & Impartiality)

Cr Muhleisen declared an Impartiality Interest in the Meeting due to attending Pro-Choice meetings.

5. Purpose of the Meeting

In accordance with the prescribed Form 1 received on 8 March 2022, the Purpose of the Meeting is to consider the following:

1. *"The effect of mandatory vaccination on electors, ratepayers, residents and business in the district;*
2. *The effect of mandatory vaccination on the local government's affairs and the performance of the local government's functions; and*
3. *Motions to be voted on for an advocacy position against mandatory vaccination for the Council to consider adopting to provide leadership and guidance to the community in the district."*

No other matter can be raised or considered at the Meeting.

6. Manner of Conduct of the Meeting

The Meeting was conducted in accordance with the following:

1. The Shire President will preside over the meeting.
2. In the event of an emergency, attendees should take direction from Officers who will guide you to the exit points of the Town Hall.
3. The toilets can be accessed from the foyer.
4. All attendees are required to sign the Attendance Register located at the entry point to the Town Hall and on the clipboards being distributed. The attendance names and numbers recorded for the meeting will be taken from the names listed on the Attendance Register.
5. All attendees are required to abide by current State Directives in relation to COVID-19 requirements, including but not limited to, wearing masks indoors, social distancing and capacity limits of the venue.
6. There will be no public question time in accordance with Regulation 5 of the *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996*.
7. The Presiding Person will call for a mover and a seconder for each motion.
8. Only Electors* of the Shire of York may move or second a motion.
9. Each person who participates in a vote or speaks must be an Elector* of the Shire of York.
10. Speakers are to clearly state their name and address each time they speak.
11. Upon a motion being proposed, each speaker is to address the Presiding Person.
12. Debate will be limited to up to three (3) speakers for the motion, and up to three (3) speakers against the motion.
13. All addresses will be limited to a maximum of five (5) minutes. No extension of time is permissible, unless granted by the Presiding Person.
14. Voting at the Meeting will be in accordance with Regulation 17 of the *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996* which states:

"17. Voting at meeting (Act s. 5.31)

- (1) *Each elector who is present at a general or special meeting of electors is entitled to one vote on each matter to be decided at the meeting but does not have to vote.*

- (2) *All decisions at a general or special meeting of electors are to be made by a simple majority of votes.*
 - (3) *Voting at a general or special meeting of electors is to be conducted so that no voter's vote is secret."*
15. The Minutes will include a summary of any questions asked and a summary of the responses provided. These will not be recorded verbatim. Attendees may provide questions in writing which will be included as an Appendix to the Minutes.
 16. In accordance with Section 6.16 of the *Shire of York Local Government (Council Meetings) Local Law 2016* no person shall use any visual or vocal recording device or instrument to record the proceedings of the Meeting without the written permission of the Presiding Person. In this instance, the person who arranged the meeting request did ask if the meeting could be recorded and that request was not approved.
 17. No person is to use offensive, defamatory or objectionable expressions in reference to any Councillor, Officer or any other person. Zero tolerance will be shown to adverse reflection against anyone. Anyone making such statements will be called upon to unreservedly withdraw the expression and make an unconditional apology. Should the inappropriate behaviour continue the Presiding Person may adjourn the Meeting.
 18. All Councillors and Officers attend the Meeting to observe the proceedings and hear comments from Electors. All questions and comments are to be directed to the Presiding Person who may invite a response from the Chief Executive Officer.
 19. In accordance with Section 5.33 of the *Local Government Act 1995*, any decisions made at this Meeting will be considered at the next Ordinary Council Meeting where practicable. If at that meeting Council makes a decision in response to a decision made at this Special Meeting of Electors, the reasons for the decision are to be recorded in the Minutes of the Council Meeting.

** An **Elect**or means a person who is eligible to be enrolled to vote at Shire of York local government elections.*

7. Matters Which Cannot be Discussed

In accordance with Section 5.23 of the *Local Government Act 1995*, as stated below, the following matters cannot be discussed at the Meeting:

"5.23. Meetings generally open to public

- (1) *Subject to subsection (2), the following are to be open to members of the public —*
 - (a) *all council meetings; and*
 - (b) *all meetings of any committee to which a local government power or duty has been delegated.*
- (2) *If a meeting is being held by a council or by a committee referred to in subsection (1)(b), the council or committee may close to members of the public the meeting, or part of the meeting, if the meeting or the part of the meeting deals with any of the following —*
 - (a) *a matter affecting an employee or employees; and*
 - (b) *the personal affairs of any person; and*
 - (c) *a contract entered into, or which may be entered into, by the local government and which relates to a matter to be discussed at the meeting; and*

- (d) *legal advice obtained, or which may be obtained, by the local government and which relates to a matter to be discussed at the meeting; and*
 - (e) *a matter that if disclosed, would reveal —*
 - (i) *a trade secret; or*
 - (ii) *information that has a commercial value to a person; or*
 - (iii) *information about the business, professional, commercial or financial affairs of a person, where the trade secret or information is held by, or is about, a person other than the local government; and*
 - (f) *a matter that if disclosed, could be reasonably expected to —*
 - (i) *impair the effectiveness of any lawful method or procedure for preventing, detecting, investigating or dealing with any contravention or possible contravention of the law; or*
 - (ii) *endanger the security of the local government’s property; or*
 - (iii) *prejudice the maintenance or enforcement of a lawful measure for protecting public safety;*
- and*
- (g) *information which is the subject of a direction given under section 23(1a) of the Parliamentary Commissioner Act 1971; and*
 - (h) *such other matters as may be prescribed.*
- (3) *A decision to close a meeting or part of a meeting and the reason for the decision are to be recorded in the minutes of the meeting.”*

The reason the above matters cannot be discussed at the Meeting is because a meeting of Electors cannot be closed to members of the public.

8. Response to Written Questions Received prior to the Meeting

8.1 Mr Phil Cowin York WA

The following questions were received via email on Friday 8 April 2022:

1. *95% of people in WA are vaccinated. Why would the Shire of York consider changing socially responsible local policies in response to a small minority of highly vocal individuals?*
2. *Why would the York Shire put residents at risk by inviting them to attend a Council Meeting alongside stated anti-vaccination individuals? Don't interpret a lack of attendance as lack of interest.*
3. *What on earth are you thinking?*

Response provided by the Shire President

The Shire President advised the questions would be Taken on Notice with a response to be provided in writing.

9. Motions of the Meeting

The following motions were provided by the person who arranged the meeting request on behalf of the electors who signed the request.

Where provided to the Shire by the speaker, the Mover and Seconder’s speeches are included as an Appendix at the end of these Minutes.

Glossary of Terms and Definitions

Access means to enter, or remain at, a premises.

Approved COVID-19 vaccine means:

- a. any vaccine that has been approved by the Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA) for use in Australia for the purpose of vaccinating persons against COVID-19 or any mutations and derivatives thereof; and/or,
- b. any other vaccine specified by the Chief Health Officer, or any other person authorised by the Chief Health Officer for that purpose, as an approved COVID-19 vaccine.

Premises has the same meaning that it has in the *Public Health Act 2016* (WA).

Vaccine does not mean the medical terminology as defined in medical journals, but is the generic term in the context of COVID-19 as referred to by the TGA and the Chief Health Officer and Government Officials, and publications and statements made and issued under their auspices.

Vaccination status means whether a person is not vaccinated or has been vaccinated.

Not vaccinated means that the person has not been administered with any dose of an approved COVID-19 vaccine.

Vaccinated means that the person has been administered with any prescribed doses of an approved COVID-19 vaccine.

9.1 COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement - Motion 1 Business/Labour Sector

The motion to be considered, forms the first part of an advocacy position statement called the 'COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement'. We request that the Council adopt this position statement and actively advocate to the State Government to remove the vaccination mandate policy.

The first part of the position statement, to be voted on in this motion, is a list of basic principles and rights that we believe are fundamental to all who seek to be employed and operate a business which are currently being disregarded by the State Government.

Voting Requirements

Simple Majority

Absolute Majority

Elector's Recommendation/Resolution – 9.1

Moved: Ashley Fisher

Seconded: Mark Hutchinson

We request that the Council adopt an advocacy position statement called the 'COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement' and actively advocate to the State Government to remove the vaccination mandate policy. In this first motion we further request that the Local Government should advocate to the State Government in support of these fundamental and basic principles, that no person shall or should be:

- a. prevented from performing work or receiving income on the basis of vaccination status;
- b. coerced or manipulated into the need for vaccination for any reason;
- c. deprived of any Statutory and Regulatory benefits on the basis of vaccination status;
- d. restricted access to premises on the basis of vaccination status;
- e. required to provide evidence for any reason of vaccination status; and / or,
- f. subject to anything under written law that a person who differs in vaccination status is not.

CARRIED

9.2 COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement - Motion 2 Residents

The motion to be considered, forms the second part of an advocacy position statement called the 'COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement'. We request that the Council adopt this position statement and actively advocate to the State Government to remove the vaccination mandate policy.

The second part of the position statement, to be voted on in this motion, is a list of basic principles and rights that we believe are fundamental to all who seek to be included in their community and treated equally, which are currently being disregarded by the State Government.

Voting Requirements



Simple Majority



Absolute Majority

Elector's Recommendation/Resolution – 9.2

Moved: Audrey Sole

Seconded: Roger Croucher

In this second motion we further request that the Local Government should advocate to the State Government in support of these fundamental and basic principles, that no person shall or should be:

- a. discriminated against on the basis of vaccination status;
- b. restricted in any form of community participation on the basis of vaccination status; and / or,
- c. subject to anything under written law that a person who differs in vaccination status is not.

CARRIED

9.3 COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement - Motion 3 Sustainable Economy

The motion to be considered, forms the third part of an advocacy position statement called the 'COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement'. We request that the Council adopt this position statement and actively advocate to the State Government to remove the vaccination mandate policy.

The third part of the position statement, to be voted on in this motion, is one we believe is fundamental to sustaining the economy, within the York Shire/District, in consideration of the people and the businesses.

Voting Requirements



Simple Majority



Absolute Majority

Elector's Recommendation/Resolution – 9.3

Moved: Jane Ferro

Seconded: Monique Hutchinson

In this third motion we further request that the Shire of York Council advocate on behalf of all people in the Shire by actively working towards encouraging the State Government to align emergency directives to include:

- a. Support for businesses, local entities, employees and residents,
- b. Protection for businesses in way of staff loss and other occupational health and safety concerns,
- c. Consistency, inclusion, stability and sustainability for all,
- d. Clarity for all to ensure no overreach of directives that may lead to possible litigation, and

For the State government to initiate a survey regarding the impacts and liability of the mandates across the above points a, b, c and d.

CARRIED

9.4 COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement - Motion 4 Agricultural and Trade Sectors

The motion to be considered, forms the fourth part of an advocacy position statement called the 'COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement'. We request that the Council adopt this position statement and actively advocate to the State Government to remove the vaccination mandate policy.

The fourth part of the position statement, to be voted on in this motion, is one we believe is fundamental to sustaining the economy in view specifically to all of the towns of the Shire/District of York's agricultural and trade sectors.

Voting Requirements



Simple Majority



Absolute Majority

Elector's Recommendation/Resolution – 9.4

Moved: Monique Hutchinson

Seconded: Karen Marwick

In this fourth motion we further request that the Shire of York Council advocate to all relevant departments and ministers of the State Government on behalf of the York agricultural and trade sectors, that the mandates be amended to allow these industries to operate without restrictions, or at least minimal restrictions, given that the work environments are open air, with capacity to easily socially distance. These conditions mean that the activities fall into the "Low Risk" category when evaluated and assessed on a Risk Rating Matrix.

CARRIED

9.5 COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement - Motion 5 Emergency Services

The motion to be considered, forms the fifth part of an advocacy position statement called the 'COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement'. We request that the Council adopt this position statement and actively advocate to the State Government to remove the vaccination mandate policy.

The fifth part of the position statement, to be voted on in this motion, is one we believe is fundamental to sustaining the emergency services for all towns within the Shire/District of York.

Voting Requirements



Simple Majority



Absolute Majority

Elector's Recommendation/Resolution – 9.5

Moved: Rob Forster

Seconded: Mark Lloyd

In this fifth motion we further request that the Shire of York Council continually advocate on behalf of our local volunteers to all the relevant departments and ministers of the State Government, to allow for all willing community members to actively participate in a volunteer role, particularly emergency services, free from medical prejudice, considering the remote and rural nature of the towns within the Shire/District of York.

CARRIED

9.6 COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement - Motion 6 Local Government Employment and Services

The motion to be considered, forms the sixth part of an advocacy position statement called the 'COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement'. We request that the York Shire Council adopt this position statement and actively advocate to the State Government to remove the vaccination mandate policy.

The sixth part of the position statement, to be voted on in this motion, is one we believe needs to be reevaluated due to its fairness across this jurisdiction.

Voting Requirements

Simple Majority Absolute Majority

Elector's Recommendation/Resolution – 9.6

Moved: Kerry Bell

Seconded: Graeme Fisher

In this sixth motion we further request that the Local Government shall:

- a. not restrict any function, property, or service of the Local Government based on vaccination status; and,
- b. as far as practicable redeploy or reinstate employees/contractors of the Local Government, that risk termination or have been terminated on the basis of vaccination status;
- c. honour all obligations under contract for all persons adversely impacted as a consequence of inferred mandatory requirement for vaccination; and,
- d. establish means to compensate Local Government employees that have lost income as a consequence of termination on the basis of vaccination status.

CARRIED

9.7 COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement - Motion 7 Safely Moving out of the Pandemic

The motion to be considered, forms the seventh part of an advocacy position statement called the 'COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement'. We request that the Council adopt this position statement and actively advocate to the State Government to remove the vaccination mandate policy.

The seventh part of the position statement, to be voted on in this motion, is one we believe should be halted until unequivocal facts are made available, especially due to the unfavourable impacts that are increasingly outweighing the perceived necessity for safety for all.

Voting Requirements

Simple Majority Absolute Majority

Elector's Recommendation/Resolution – 9.7

Moved: Jane Ferro

Seconded: Monique Hutchinson

In this seventh motion we further request that the Local Government actively advocate for:

- a. the removal of the COVID-19 mandatory vaccination policy and any associated directions made under the *Public Health Act 2016 (WA)*; and
- b. the repeal of any mandate or written law, or, anything done under any mandate or written law that conflicts with this position statement.

CARRIED

9.8 COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement - Motion 8 Advocate Co-operation with Other Corporate Entities

The motion to be considered, forms the eighth part of an advocacy position statement called the 'COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement'. We request that the Council adopt this position statement and actively advocate to the State Government to remove the vaccination mandate policy.

The eighth part of the position statement, to be voted on in this motion, is one we believe is necessary in creating accountability across corporate entities, so that unequivocal facts can be brought forward with discernment of a wider view, limiting chance of error.

Voting Requirements

Simple Majority Absolute Majority

Elector's Recommendation/Resolution – 9.8

Moved: Ashley Fisher **Seconded:** Charles Lee

In this eighth motion we further request that the Local Government in performing an advocacy role will seek the cooperation of:

- a. Other Local Governments across the State;
- b. Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA);
- c. Local Government Professionals WA; and,
- d. Other professional bodies, associations, and business entities.

CARRIED

9.9 COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement - Motion 9 Transparency and Access to Unequivocal Facts Regarding COVID-19

The motion to be considered, forms the ninth part of an advocacy position statement called the 'COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement'. We request that the Council adopt this position statement and actively advocate to the State Government to remove the vaccination mandate policy.

The ninth part of the position statement, to be voted on in this motion, is one we believe is necessary for all in our community to have access to unequivocal facts, so that full discernment as to navigation of personal safety for all is properly considered and respected.

Voting Requirements

Simple Majority Absolute Majority

Elector's Recommendation/Resolution – 9.9

Moved: Dee Robinson

Seconded: Peter Bailey

In this ninth motion we further request that the Shire of York Council undertake investigation and assessment of:

- a. **The unequivocal effectiveness of the mandated vaccines for all ages, inclusive of and not limited to 0-100 years,**
- b. **The unequivocal adverse effects of the mandated vaccines for all ages, inclusive of and not limited 0-100 years, freely disclosed by medical professionals and individuals, and**
- c. **the legal and lawful implications of the Shire and businesses, of the:**
 - a. **Current *Occupational Health and Safety Act 1984*, and**
 - b. ***Health and Safety Act 2020* (new work).**

Whereby findings of points a, b, and c above are accurately reported back to the Shire of York residents and ratepayers.

CARRIED

10. Closure

There being no further business the Presiding Person thanked those in attendance and declared the Meeting closed at 7.37pm.

It should be noted the report required to be presented to Council to consider the resolutions of this Special Electors Meeting will be presented to Council's May 2022 Ordinary Meeting.

Motion 1: Business / Labour Sector

Mark York

I am Mark Hutchinson, a resident of York for the past 5 years.

The Prime Minister Scott Morrison stated, when referring to COVID-19 and I quote “Nobody’s going to force anybody to do anything as a compulsory measure”.

We thought we lived in a free society, but recently our state government has used COVID-19 Vaccination status to:

- Restrict rights to earn an income, to travel, to conduct recreational activities, to participate in community groups, and to go to licensed events.

On what grounds do these directives stand as law, where is the evidence?

I am a Father of 4 children and 3 Grandchildren.

I served in the W.A Police Force for 23 years from October 1985 until December 2008.

During those years I lived and worked in country towns from the Goldfields to the Gascoyne, Pilbara and Kimberley.

From 2008 to 2012 I worked at the Pilbara Ports Port Hedland as Vessel Traffic Services Officer, before I obtained employment at Fremantle Ports in the same capacity in August of 2012.

In January 2022, whilst on Sick Leave, my employment at Fremantle Ports was Terminated as I had not received the government Mandated Covid 19 injection.

I have previously been vaccinated against other illnesses however, in every essence of my being I could not bring myself to be injected with an unproven, untested experimental drug.

I made the choice of placing my health before an income I received of \$135,000 per year.

This decision has placed me under a great deal of mental anguish and I experience sleepless nights, bouts of Depression and cascades of overwhelming grief and sadness.

I wonder how I will now navigate the financial needs of my family especially with the future prospect of one of my children facing very expensive medical procedures in years to come.

I will now bring forward John's story who could not be here tonight:

John is employed by the Department of Education, in IT/Computers to work at some nearby schools. Following the State Government Health Directives, he was told unless he is vaccinated: He cannot attend a school premises, even on a weekend, when there is no one else there.

The Department of education has stopped his income, despite his ability to work remotely to achieve his employment contract and despite being healthy and fit for work.

John is certainly not the only one in this position. Others too have found these same measures leading to a choice of vaccinate or loose your job.

John has spoken with several good, experienced teachers that are in the same position as him. They have mentioned that they feel, that from their medical history, the vaccine may have a negative impact on their health, but what choice do they have.

The Fair Work Ombudsman states:

- 1. An important part of Australia's vaccine rollout continues to be a collaborative approach in the workplace that includes discussing, planning and facilitating COVID-19 vaccinations.*
- 2. Where employees **choose** not to be vaccinated or aren't able to be vaccinated, explore other options including alternative work arrangements, eg work remotely.*
- 3. If an employee refuses to be vaccinated, an employer should, as a first step, ask the employee to explain their reasons. An employee may have a legitimate reason for not being vaccinated.*

Where was John's option to work remotely? Where was the consideration for his wellbeing and his choice? Where was my opportunity to explain my reason prior to be terminated whilst on sick leave.

My family and I have experienced all the freedoms associated with country life, truly beautiful and enriching experiences that my children still talk of today. I would dearly love to see those experiences returned and to continue into the future.

Thank you for your consideration of this motion, please vote in favour to bring back basic human rights, so that everyone can have the same rights to standard of living.

Address to York Shire Council & Ratepayers - Motion 2 Special Electors Meeting April 12th 2022

by Audrey Sole

Thank you to all of you who are here to help address covid issues and to our Shire President for convening this event.

I come here today as a committed volunteer of both the River Conservation Society and Wildflower Society who in 2021 was put forward as citizen of the year and was given a special certificate of achievement. I am still the same person on the outside- but now very different on the inside.

I am a 'Healthy' founding member of the Environment centre but I can't enter as I am unvaccinated. My own committee shut me out. This was not mandated but a carefully considered action.

To remain unvaxed is my choice but now I suffer the consequences. I am unable to attend the groups meetings, no yoga, no pilates, no legal sit down coffee or meals. I am now no longer able to see 3 of my grandchildren because their parents live in fear that I may pass on the virus. I remind you - I am a 'Healthy' person but 'What do you think this is doing to my MENTAL HEALTH ? '

Some would say - 'Why not just take the jab and avoid all this pain'. Have you ever had a gut feeling that something just wasn't right ? This so called vaccination was considered a trial but Pfizer had no control group. It was considered safe but makers would not release what was in the vaccine. We were told it was safe and now Pfizer (under duress) has released it had over 1200 different adverse reactions.

<https://phmpt.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/5.3.6-postmarketing-experience.pdf>

The estimated death was at that time only thought to be 2 people in 100,000. I had a healthy immune system so I listened to my heart and refused.

There is a large group of people in York who thought THEY were valuable members of our community. People who have been members of groups most of their lives. Sporting clubs deny unvaxed access to the Rec Centre and Golf Club Facilities. The Men's shed, The Film Society, The Probus Club, The Car Museum and York Museum, the Croquet Clubhouse & the RSL lock out unvaxed. Even York FM who run ads that being part of a club is good for our mental health - does not include the unvaxed.

Members of our community are being discriminated against for choosing what they put into their bodies - a basic human right included in the Nuremberg Code.

Living in fear is harmful to ALL ! and thanks to the media and government policy people have been living in fear of catching this flu. Omicron is a weakened form of the Covid virus - but the measures and restrictions haven't eased.

Thank you to all those individuals and businesses who have stood in solidarity and supported each other through this difficult time. You know who you are.

In Nov 2021 it was reported in the Medical Journal -The Lancet

- stigmatising the unvaccinated is not justified.

It states you have an equal chance of catching co-vid from a vaccinated person. You do in fact have a greater chance as apparently over 95% of them are jabbed but are still catching covid.

<https://www.thelancet.com/journals/laninf/article/PIIS1473-3099>

My unvaxed daughter recently had covid and recovered in 2 days. She caught it from her friends who were all triple vaxed and they took over a week to recover.

The World Doctor's Alliance also states that 'only a symptomatic person can spread infection'. But sick people are isolating and staying at home !!

<https://worlddoctorsalliance.com/?fbc#site-nav>

Why then are healthy unvaxed people being excluded from community group facilities ?

So I put to you - started thinking with love and common sense. I move this motion and ask that the York Shire Council advocate on our behalf. Put a stop to the discrimination. Advise community groups that restrictions should be lifted and their basic human rights restored.

POINT BRIEF TO COUNCIL

Speaker: Roger Croucher

Time: 3minutes 20 seconds

Introduction

Good afternoon ladies and gentlemen. Thank you for your time.

My name is Roger Croucher

My military service 24 years in the Australian Army

I represent members from three local veterans' groups

I am now retired and work as a volunteer veterans counselor

Body

1. A number of veterans have exercised their democratic right not be vaccinated. Because of this they are now discriminated against.
2. Remembering that the veteran's community has one of the highest suicide rates in Australia. They are now being restricted in utilizing one of the most effective anti-suicide programs, that is endorsed by the government. That is having a meal, a beer, or a coffee with mates.
3. To put this in context, on ANZAC day a considerable number of veterans will not be allowed into the RSL hall, they will not be allowed in the RSL grounds to partake in the gunfire breakfast, they will not be allowed into cafes' with their mates prior to the march. And they will not be allowed into ANZAC day mid-day functions.
4. This restricted community participation is having a detrimental effect on individuals, family groups and the well-being of veterans in the local community.
5. At this meeting we are exercising our democratic right, "to be here as a group". At this meeting we are allowed, "freedom of speech", as well as another right that has been damaged recently and that is "medical in confidence". This is a right that states "we do not have to tell people our medical condition or treatment" why - because it leads to individuals being adversely targeted because of their medical status. ^{and restricted,}
6. It is the veterans who have fought for these freedoms and kept these rights free within Australia. It is now these very people who are being targeted with illegal discrimination and poorly thought-out government mandates.

Outro

Thank you for listening. Any questions.

Please vote in the affirmative on this ~~and~~ motion.

Motion 3: Sustainable Economy

(Presented by Jane Ferro on behalf of John Seman, the writer, who was unable to attend the Special Electors' Meeting)

If you've had a business (or even just been alive) in the last 2 years, you have experienced a wild ride. Be it by design or not, the small business sector and most employees have been impacted by:

- Sudden shutdowns & lockdowns
- Staff availability (quarantine)
- Travel restrictions
- Supply disruptions

All of these measures, put in place by the State government, are enacted using state emergency public health powers.

Did you realize the WA State government has used the State of Emergency declarations on a continuous basis for over 2 years? These emergency declarations are valid for only a 14-day period and I suggest the use of these powers for a continuous 100+ weeks was never the intention of the rules. When we've just had more than 50 consecutive declarations, I tend to get suspicious.

If the vaccines are so effective, why have we over 100 weeks of emergency declarations yet no plan forward? Are we closer to a solution out of COVID? If you look at the State government than you are likely to say no, there is no plan.

No better example of the government's insanity is the sudden flip from initially prohibiting unvaccinated people entering a liquor store to the rapid dropping of this restriction.

On the other hand, there **ARE** solutions supported by top medical practitioners who, strangely, are being censored. We can stop over 85% of COVID-19 hospitalisations and deaths with recognised early treatment protocols using super safe existing medicines. These early treatment protocols have been developed by many very qualified doctors: Peter McCullough, Front Line Critical Care Alliance, Tyson Fareed.

Early treatment works if you start the treatment when the symptoms appear, not isolate and wait for them to get worse. Vitamin C & D, Zinc, Aspirin, Iodine mouth wash are some of the products used.

Pfizer by their own admission says it has no long term safety data on the health effects of the vaccine. Their claim the vaccines are 'safe and effective' is not supported by a significant amount of available data, including that from Pfizer, FDA, our own TGA adverse events data and the US Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (VAERS).

For any other illness, natural immunity is recognised as an exemption to being vaccinated. Surprisingly for COVID-19 this long-established medical principle is

discarded; although in some Pfizer documents released a few weeks ago, the Pfizer trials show natural immunity is highly effective against COVID-19.

If we removed some of the panic and fear involving COVID 19 and stopped using questionable emergency powers, we could give all businesses and employees more fairness & certainty.

Well, you might say that's okay it doesn't affect me, I'm vaccinated. Maybe, but not everyone has complied. I don't need to tell you what happens to your spending habits when you stop being paid. Suddenly your problem has consequences for other businesses.

My decision was based on the following considerations:

- My chance of getting COVID, living in a country setting, 1,000m from the nearest house, is minimized.
- I don't go to sporting events, nightclubs, cinemas, crowded places etc.
- I have chosen early treatment using conventional medicines that have shown to reduce hospitalisations & deaths by 85% if exposed to COVID-19.
- COVID-19 shares 65-82% commonality with other Coronaviruses and therefore most of us have a certain level of natural immunity
- The evidence now shows the vaccine does not prevent you catching or transmitting COVID19.

Surely the easiest solution is to recognise that vaccination is a personal choice we each have an innate right to make.

Motion 3

Good evening, Madam President, councillors, ladies and gentlemen, children.

As a woman with 20 years of physical and mental health knowledge, I question the law behind the state government's emergency directives. Is it not true that the emergency directive applied, should be the best to protect the people from an emergency? Two years on, the question is being asked across the world - "was this health threat handled correctly?"

A difficult answer when a new threat with no foreseeable insight as to true severity, is left to what we are told will happen. So as a world we chose short term lock downs. These provided time to watch and assess, decreased pollution levels, increased trade sector as money moved from travel to renovations and locally economies boomed. Then social distancing provided a welcome change to personal boundaries and awareness of space. Masks were added to provide some early attempt of safety, whilst we all anticipated keeping covid away, so specialists could track the next best move.

However, this was never going to be sustainable, has much as we all enjoyed the break, industry was not continuing, income was not coming through the door, we were standing still and extended family and friends were missing their first ever Christmas. We began seeing medical warnings of extended mask wearing and soon the mandated vaccine was added so that all could get back to normal. Though as people are not a one size fits all, medicines have always been to the discretionary of the patient and the doctor who was trusted to keep you healthy. Today people are doubting the doctors and doctors are doubting the scientists and new questions are being raised daily.

Since the world jumped into the same direction, at different times, we have the added advantage to work together and collate data from around the world. It is time to find the answers to the ever increasing questions:

- What variant are we up to now? Is it a pathogen?
- How effective have the vaccines been?
- How many adverse reactions have occurred and what has been experienced?
- How many died of Covid, or was it with covid?
- What are all the experts saying from their professional evidence and two years of research?
- How many businesses have folded, how many survived?
- How many people are employed/unemployed/now on centrelink?
- How left their jobs how many international foreigners took those Australian jobs?
- And what about mental health impacts from the fear of dying, fear of being separated from children, from parents, fear of financial loss, fear of being bullied from those with a different belief?

How is the health of our community? The view of community used to stand for a way to support each other. Now community is divided in two areas, one side is fearful of dying from covid and the other fearful of dying from the vaccine? When we stand back and have a look both are fearful of dying, both have very real concerns with evidence to support the view. So why are they attacking each other, excluding each other? When someone has a fear of death, wouldn't it be better to console them and find out how you can be of support for them?

Mental health has increased in 2020 mental health services were strained and had a wait list of 2 months, now 2 years on, waitlists are from 4 months to a year depending on the professional you need.

It is time to get back to sustaining our economy and working together for the immune compromised, for the very healthy, for the young and the elderly. It is time to support each other again to find a better way forward that best protects all rights, all fears, all beliefs with updated unequivocal facts that can lead us into a better tomorrow for everyone.

We ask that you vote for this motion to find a better way to health and security for all in our town. Deep gratitude to you all for your time in consideration of this motion.

Motion 4 - Move

Good evening Madam President, Councilor's Ladies, Gentleman and Children

As a woman who is self-employed, I ask, how businesses and farmers of our Shire, are fairing these emergency directives?

Our agriculture and trade sectors are the backbones of our town. Therefore, changes in these areas should be noted and where necessary supported, to avoid impact to all who supply and rely on these necessities and services.

Being rural and new to directives we have witnessed money being spent locally and city dwellers travelling to York to escape. Some businesses' have become busier and continue to do well overall. We are lucky, but for how long??

After approaching businesses' in York with a genuine concern to hear how they were travelling since the directives, we report some businesses have found following the directives easy enough with only a little drop in profit margins. However, this was only a small amount of the total spoken to.

The rest described the following impacts that are already beginning to show.

Some have not fully recovered from the first lock down, profits dropped, when tourists could not enter. This created longer working hours to meet smaller profits to cover costs.

Across all businesses' prices overall are increasing, mainly due to in freight issues across the country. There is an inconsistent supply chain, stocks are harder to obtain, creating delays and higher prices.

Busyness has been a welcome change, however some are feeling a level of mental and physical exhaustion, where some people have had to work longer hours to cover missing staff due to close contacts, positive tests or genuinely feeling unwell. This was to be expected, though if being felt so early in the piece, how can this be sustained?

Staff are using up their sick and annual leave faster than ever before, and having days off without pay, this is not sustainable when there is bills to be paid and jobs to get done. Most businesses commented on how hard acquiring staff has become, they have been forced to increase incentives to attract and retain staff, decreasing overall profit.

Inconsistent, misinterpreted directives are creating a problem for handling, leading to a decrease in confidence in retaining staff and customers. There is no clear flow to how or when a job can be completed. Flexibility has become a necessary stand, however the inability to forecast future planning is leading to unfinished jobs that are accumulating, creating pressure and tension on all staff.

There is an expectation for staff to be directive enforcers, advertise paraphernalia and confront customers, regardless of the lawful views about possible litigation and discrimination. With no written laws to follow, businesses' have been given loose directives in order to find their way. They are finding customers are divided on mandates that in turn is affecting their business. They are seeing increased anxiety, fear, agitation, and confusion amongst their customers.

The Federal Government stated that all had a choice, the truth is businesses did not have a choice. Have the vaccine or don't run your business. Workers did not have a choice, get the vaccine or lose your job.

How do you leave a business when employees and others have contracts with you to engage in work?

How do you leave when locals count on you to be there for their needs?

How do you leave when you rely on sales and services to pay your bills?

We ask you to vote in support for reducing mandates for our general business and trade sector to support them during these tough times.

Motion 4 – Second

Good evening Madam President, Councillors, Ladies, Gentleman and Children,

We now bring the report from our agriculture sector. A sector who works tirelessly in the background, and without them, we all would be heavily impacted.

The greatest impact was farmers could not have specialist people able to enter their premises. Meetings with Bank Managers, Accountants and Consultants turned to virtual interactions, and meetings with suppliers became minimal.

There has been an influx of interest in people seeking farm work, most were not qualified and seasonal employment which is largely scoured from backpackers, have become non-existent.

With huge delays in necessary equipment and requirements, flexibility and large ordering in advance has become necessary, more so than previous years, to ensure that what is required is available. There is no guarantees to acquire what you need to run the farm.

High demand and low supply of farm materials are increasing prices substantially, by as much as 25% plus. When running large plant and equipment very soon your costs explode.

Two years in living with the directives, employee isolation, these are creating an impact to the usual natural flow of events when it comes to seeding, harvesting and hay making. Preplanning for future seeding and harvest is much harder to navigate when it is disrupted by an employee having to isolate for a week, this could occur at any time. Farming is time critical, and this inconsistency poses a very real concern and risk to farming success.

CBH struggled to book ships to export WA's record harvest in 2021 season, due to the implications of the pandemic on shipping worldwide. As a result, grain prices dropped dramatically during harvest, given the over supply and lack of being able to supply to the rest of the world. Even though W.A. grain is highly sought after, they could not ship it to the markets willing to pay top dollar.

To deliver grain to CBH, either an employee or the farmer must be vaccinated. Either you vaccinate, or you can not deliver and sell your grain. This is not a choice.

There is a very real concern for the heavy duty machinery and vehicle operation, In our shire we have heavy haulage and buses on narrow country roads. Some are concerned about staff behind the wheel of trucks and those operating heavy farm machinery. There is a concern of heart attacks while using equipment, this presents a disastrous outcome no one wants to see. Many are still asking - who will pick up the cost of life and destruction. Insurances companies have walked away from their responsibility when it has come to the health impact from the experimental injection. There no clear answers - where does this leave our agricultural sector?

A message from our farmers –

“It would be nice to 'live with Covid' and Move forward without all the rules being implemented. Living in a rural environment and raising children they too are impacted, having to wear masks on the school bus for an extra two hours a day, because of the distance they have to travel to school. Your support to lift the mandates required under the emergency directive would be the best support you could give us in this situation.”

Thank you for your time and consideration of this motion - We ask that you show your support and vote to reduce or better still remove mandates for our agricultural and trade sectors due to their low risk category to support their needs during these trying time.

Good evening Everyone

My name is Rob Forster, and I am a suspended Volunteer from the Talbot Brook Bush Fire Brigade.

I have;

- 19 years as a Bushfire Volunteer
- A Training Officer and Captain with the Woorloo Bushfire Brigade
- 12 years with the Talbot Brook Bushfire Brigade

This is supported by;

36 years as a Professional Firefighter, Instructor and Senior Officer with;

- Army Fire
- Aviation Fire
- United Nations Fire Service in Bosnia and....
- The WA Fire Service

With the WA Fire Service, I served in Qatar during the time of the Second Gulf War Training Special Forces.

- I am a Graduate of the Institute of Fire Engineers, I have a
- Post Graduate Degree in Emergency Management
- Advanced Diploma in Emergency Management Public Safety

- Diploma in Fire Management and another in Fire Engineering.

Despite all of this skill, knowledge and experience I have been prohibited by the Department of Fire and Emergency Services, and ultimately the York Shire in Volunteering my services to the Talbot Brook Brigade and the Communities of Western Australia because I would not give in to the coercion of the unlegislated Mandatory jobs placed on all Emergency Service Volunteers as of 31 December 2021.

It takes a special type of person to be an Emergency Services Volunteer.

Volunteers across Australia have for decades forgone both the comfort of their home and recreation to risk their lives to protect and save lives and property of others.

Sometimes they have done this when their own properties and loved ones have been at risk themselves.

And this rejection, is the thanks they have received from the community and Local Government Authority that they faithfully served unconditionally?

“Thanks, but your services are no longer required if you don’t comply.”

What an immoral, ungrateful slap in the face.

We have been discarded like junk food wrappings.

There is no science whatever to support that I, as an unjabbed person, place anyone else around me in any danger whatever.

The Experimental Vaccine is supposed to protect those that take it against both contracting the disease and transmitting it.

This is why we take Vaccines like Polio, Typhoid and Cholera, to build an immunity to those terrible diseases.

We all know that this is simply not true for the Covid Vaccine.

Across Australia and the World, we have both Vaccinated and Unvaccinated patients.

I am able to be here tonight, unvaccinated, as many of you are, but it is not considered safe for me to provide my services at a Bushfire or other emergency.... Do you not see the ridiculousness of this situation??

If one applies critical thinking to this whole scenario, I am precluded from saving lives and protecting property and all this ~~██████████~~ for a survival rate of 99.97% without early intervention and these figures are supplied by the Australian Government Department of Health for Western Australia.

<https://www.health.gov.au/health-alerts/covid-19/case-numbers-and-statistics>

Many of you in this room will know someone that has contracted Omicron and it has been nothing more than a serious flu nothing like the Alpha or Delta strains.

So based on all the available evidence against this tyranny to continue the York Shire is supporting unlawful mandates and unjustifiably aiding DFES in stopping Volunteers from performing what they see as a civic duty.

In 2020 when I and others in this room fought the Woorloo fires that devastated hundreds of properties destroying homes, livestock, and other assets during the so-called Pandemic, we were hailed as heroes.

What has changed?

What dramatic increase in Covid numbers were there in that big mixing of Volunteers and Career from Fire, State Emergency Service, Police, Paramedics, Salvation Army, Western Power, and many other support personnel that would justify the standing down of unjabbed Volunteers.?

None.... Not one case of Covid was reported or attributed between unvaxxed or vaxxed Emergency Services personnel of the many hundreds, possibly thousands that that attended that catastrophe.

But there is one thing for certain, I, and others saved lives and property and we should be still doing so and not to allow Volunteering to continue is reckless.

I urge the York Shire to reject the DFES Mandates and allow Unjabbed Volunteers to return to their duties.

Motion 6. Move

Is it not true that our local government is responsible for its people, the voice of and protection of its people? All people. Is it not true that all facts and evidence should be examined to determine what hardships people of this town are facing? Then we call on our local government to stand honourably and fairly when assessing the inclusion rights for all people to access events, employment, facilities and services in this town. Already many are stopped from entering the Rec centre, many have been stopped from being able to dine in at their favourite local eateries, many have been stopped from supporting local museums, many have been stopped from earning an income. Many who pay rates no longer can use the facilities that the rates pay for.

Government bodies have stated all can still pass on covid, vaccinated and unvaccinated? The only difference being presented they feel that the vaccinated will fair the illness easier and have a quicker road to recovery. Then there is no danger posed by those choosing to not receive the COVID-19 vaccine. So why is this town choosing to exclude people from events, employment, facilities and services?

There are cities, towns and countries of people who feel this way all over the world. I can guarantee there are a larger amount of people in this room who would have chosen to not receive the newly experimental injection if it was not forced upon us. If this vaccine was clearly for our benefit, why are so many people all over the world leaving their jobs, their mortgages, their ability to participate in society? Think about it just who would choose hardship when they did not have to?

Let's look out to one of our neighbouring countries – New Zealand

They are asking a question that can only really be answered if the full truth and the full evidence is known and assessed by the people of New Zealand. Thankfully that may be beginning to happen now. But one thing is for certain. The biggest victims of this situation are now coming together, they are uniting to support each other, they are uniting to tell their stories, and they will be ***SILENT NO MORE***.

An important point to note here. Their story is not an anti-vax one at all, and the information presented here is not anti-vax in any way. They are compiling information and evidence about one particular vaccine. The COVID-19 vaccine.

On March 29th the 'Silent No More' movement was officially born in New Zealand. It was an event that was created out of a collective vision and a collective effort of numerous passionate Kiwis from around the country who all had a shared desire and need to come together to tell their story and to just be heard. People from right across the country gathered in front of parliament in a memorial service to grieve together, to tell their stories together, and to deliver their petition with 12,000 signatures (gathered in just 5 days) to parliament.

Some were grieving for the health that they, and so many fellow Kiwis, have now lost. Many permanently. Some were grieving for their loved ones who have paid the ultimate price from taking the COVID-19 vaccine. All were grieving for what has been done collectively to their country and its people. There were tears. A lot of tears. But it was a watershed moment for these people, and for the thousands of others who couldn't be there but wanted to. No longer would they be hushed up, ignored, and ridiculed by their government and the media. New Zealand people are now speaking up about the adverse reactions being discovered daily among those who rolled up for their country.

We are still gathering our data, we too are finding adverse reactions every day here in Australia. We need to stop and take head of what is happening elsewhere and find the solutions before it is too late. Before too many are excluded, too many are suffering adverse reactions, too many are no longer able to work, too many are negatively affected.

Thank you for your time and consideration of Motion 6.

Motion 7 – Mover Jane Ferro

I, like many others, acknowledge and recognize the fear that has been created which has led to many in our community – and in the world – believing they are only safe if they wear multi-layered masks, social distance, isolate themselves, agree to multiple injections and whatever else they are told to do. If some members of our community only feel safe by complying with the directives and mandates of those they have come to trust, so be it.

Why, you may ask, do some of us not feel afraid of this virus known as Covid 19? Many of us come from a long history of looking after our own health. We are well, with strong immune systems that function normally. In fact, new research found that natural immunity offers exponentially more protection than COVID-19 vaccines. Vaccinated individuals were 27 times more likely to get a symptomatic COVID infection than those with natural immunity from COVID.

<https://fee.org/articles/harvard-epidemiologist-says-the-case-for-covid-vaccine-passports-was-just-demolished/>

We also posed lots of questions from the very start. There was information coming from an increasing number of highly qualified individuals in the medical and scientific community which conflicted with the narrative. The dots did not connect for us. However, it has been challenging to say anything different to the mainstream media narrative.

The data now emerging shows that the number of actual deaths from Covid – as compared with deaths with Covid – is significantly lower than what we were originally told. In 2020, there were no more deaths than the norm. The usual deaths from heart conditions, strokes, cancer, etc contributed to the total, even though death certificates wrongly identified Covid as the cause.

On the other hand, after the vaccine rollout, the data from VAERS ([Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System](#)) reveals the COVID jabs are the most dangerous vaccines ever created. After only 15 months ([18.3.22](#)) of the Covid vaccine in America, there has been:

- 68,000% increase in strokes
- 44,000% increase in heart disease
- 22,000% increase in deaths of people over the age of 50
- 6,800% increase in deaths overall
- 5,700% increase in permanent disabilities
- 5,000% increase in life threatening injuries
- 4,400% increase in hospitalizations

as compared to 30 years of deaths and adverse events from non-Covid vaccines.

<https://healthimpactnews.com/2022/covid-19-vaccine-massacre-68000-increase-in-strokes-44000-increase-in-heart-disease-6800-increase-in-deaths-over-non-covid-vaccines/>

<https://healthimpactnews.com/2022/22000-increase-in-deaths-following-covid-vaccines-for-adults-over-50-as-fda-authorizes-2nd-booster-for-this-age-group/>

On top of that, the lockdowns and mandates have caused a steep rise in:

- Suicides
- Mental Illness
- Substance abuse
- Domestic Violence
- Child Abuse
- Families torn apart
- Small business closures
- Loss of jobs and income because of work mandates
- People dying in their homes from serious diseases not being treated
- Discrimination and bullying everywhere, but children are the most vulnerable
- Detrimental effects of Isolation, especially for the young and the elderly
- Masking causing both physical and psychological damage
- Adults wearing face masks has left a generation of babies and toddlers struggling with speech and social skills
- Those turning two “will have been surrounded by adults wearing masks for their whole lives and have therefore been unable to see lip movements or mouth shapes and requiring speech therapy

<https://healthimpactnews.com/2022/mask-wearing-has-left-a-generation-of-toddlers-struggling-with-speech-and-social-skills/>

Based on new data, changes are finally taking place:

- Many countries are now treating Covid as endemic – rather than a pandemic – and just the flu.
- Mandates are being removed globally, including in Australia.

In conclusion, people should be able to consider all available information when making decisions about their health, and their children’s, especially when deciding whether to take a new injection that has not been fully tested for safety, efficacy and long term effects.

Mandates and directives require a person’s consent, an innate right we possess as sovereign beings. No one should be discriminated against based on the choices that person makes to stay well.

Motion 7 - Seconder

A Shift from Pandemic to Endemic

Released by the ABC News. February 2022

<https://www.facebook.com/watch/?v=4901127319968696>

Released by the ABC News in February 2022 – a video titled - A shift from Pandemic to Endemic – the outcome for WA.

Within this 16minute video ABC news described how it was that we should move to an endemic, meaning, it is now in the people and here to stay. We now have to live with it to the best of our ability, to the least impact on each other, our economy and our world.

Many countries have now taken the stand to move to an endemic and drop the mandates surrounding Covid-19, let's explore more of what ABC brought forward.

ABC News mentioned that it will be impossible to eradicate the COVID-19 strains as it will continue to mutate, being zoonotic, just as the Influenza virus does each and every year. Also mentioned that a vaccine is not the best treatment for a virus that is zoonotic. ABC news continued to bring forward the facts that the current strain of Covid has a death rate of 0.00092%, this means that we are

- 6 times more likely to die from HIV, 10 times with Cholera, 46 times with Tuberculosis, than we are from COVID-19,

Yet no one closed borders, put on masks, asked to prove a vaccine passport, for these other viruses so why are we doing it for a mutated strain which ABC has stated is insignificant in comparison to these other zoonotic viruses already in circulation? We should be asking our state government just where is your proof, because even ABC news is proving you wrong and they are not the only professionals looking into this more deeply.

We have already heard about the problems occurring around the world with adverse reactions to this current vaccine, we need listen to ABC news that mentioned vaccines will not stop a zoonotic virus like COVID and to stop the roll out especially for our children's health and instead move to other safer, more effective treatments for all.

The latest data questions why are we vaccinating healthy children?

(RFK Jr, 30/3/22)

https://www.redvoicemedia.com/video/2022/03/before-you-inject-your-child-the-real-risk-benefit-analysis-as-summarized-by-rfk-jr/?utm_source=in-article-related-1

- Children have a 99.995% recovery rate from COVID, with mostly mild symptoms and transmission from children to adults is minimal
- Children's bodies clear COVID much more easily than adults
- Only 12% of children have effective immunity after 7 weeks of receiving the COVID-19 vaccine. The vaccine doesn't last and doesn't keep them safe.
- A common adverse reaction from this vaccine in children is myocarditis. Our children should not be left with heart issues for the rest of their lives, because we thought vaccination was the answer. As an intelligent race we can do better than this.

<https://peckford42.wordpress.com/2021/07/13/10-reasons-why-children-and-young-people-should-not-get-the-covid-vaccines/>

ABC concluded in presenting other options for treatment instead of the current COVID-19 vaccine that can support everyone to keep themselves healthy, such as Paxloid which has been proven to decrease the severity of COVID-19 symptoms by 89% even after 3 days of symptoms. Another treatment by Merck, has proven to decrease the possibility of hospitalisation and death by 30% and both these medical treatments have been given provisional approval in Australia. So why still the need for vaccines when immune compromised individuals have better options without the adverse reactions from the current COVID-19 vaccine?

Thanks to ABC news for keeping us up to date, as they prove that this zoonotic virus cannot be answered with a vaccine and that there is no

medical or public health case for the mass vaccination of people. It is time to bring forward the true data just as ABC news has done and request our state government to stand responsibly for people of all ages and their health.